arXiv:1603.01454v1 [math.RA] 27 Jan 2016

Extensions of associative and Lie algebras via Gröbner-Shirshov bases method*

Yuqun Chen and Jianjun Qiu
School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
yqchen@scnu.edu.cn
jianjunqiu@126.com

Abstract

Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{e}$ be algebras over a field k. Then $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{e}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ is isomorphic to the quotient algebra $\mathfrak{e}/\mathfrak{a}$. In this paper, by using Gröbner-Shirshov bases theory for associative (resp. Lie) algebras, we give complete characterizations of associative (resp. Lie) algebra extensions of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is presented by generators and relations.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 16S15, 16S35, 17B05, 17B56, 20M25

Keywords: Gröbner-Shirshov basis; extension; Lie algebra; associative algebra.

1 Introduction

Let A and B be groups. By a group extension of A by B we shall mean a short exact sequence of groups and homomorphisms

$$1 \to A \to G \to B \to 1,$$

i.e., A is a normal subgroup of G such that $B \cong G/A$. If this is the case, we also call G an extension of A by B.

The classical theory of group extension was developed by O. Schreier [39, 40] while the homological implications of the theory were recognized by S. Eilenberg and S. MacLane [25]. Some results on characterizations of group extensions are included in M. Hall [29], S. Maclane [35], G.P. Hochschild and J.-P.Serre [33], and so on. It states in Hall's book ([29], p. 228) that "it is difficult to determine the identities (in A) leading to conditions for an extension, where the group B

*Supported by the NNSF of China (11171118, 11571121).

is presented by generators and relations." The Hall's problem above has been solved by Yuqun Chen in a recent paper [20] by using Gröbner-Shirshov bases method.

Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{e}$ be algebras over a field k and

$$0 \to \mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{e} \to \mathfrak{b} \to 0$$

a short exact sequence. Then $\mathfrak{e}$ is called an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$.

Inspired by Hall's problem above, one needs to find all extensions of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is presented by generators and relations.

There are many results on extensions of algebras, in particular on extensions of associative algebras, Lie algebras, Lie superalgebras, etc, see, for example, [2, 3, 22, 27, 28, 32, 34, 35, 36, 38, 43]. Mostly, they deal with some special cases for extensions. There is no result to determine conditions for an extension when the algebra $\mathfrak{b}$ is presented by generators and relations.

We discover Gröbner-Shirshov bases method is helpful to characterise the extensions of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ when one deals with $\mathfrak{b}$ being presented by generators and relations.

Gröbner bases and Gröbner-Shirshov bases were invented independently by A.I. Shirshov for ideals of free (commutative, anti-commutative) non-associative algebras [42, 44], free Lie algebras [41, 44] and implicitly free associative algebras [41, 44] (see also [4, 6]), by H. Hironaka [31] for ideals of the power series algebras (both formal and convergent), and by B. Buchberger [17] for ideals of the polynomial algebras. Gröbner bases and Gröbner-Shirshov bases theories have been proved to be very useful in different branches of mathematics, including commutative algebra and combinatorial algebra. It is a powerful tool to solve the following classical problems: normal form; word problem; conjugacy problem; rewriting system; automaton; embedding theorem; PBW theorem; extension; homology; growth function; Dehn function; complexity; etc. See, for example, the books [1, 15, 18, 19, 23, 26], the papers [4, 5, 6, 10, 24, 30, 37], and the surveys [8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review Gröbner-Shirshov bases theory for associative algebras. We give a complete characterization of associative algebra extensions of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is presented by generators and relations. Some applications of such a characterization are given. In section 3, we review Gröbner-Shirshov bases theory for Lie algebras. We give a complete characterization of Lie algebra extensions. Some applications of such a characterization are given.

2 Extensions of associative algebras

2.1 Composition-Diamond lemma for associative algebras

In this subsection, we review Gröbner-Shirshov bases theory for associative algebras.

Let X be a set and X^* (resp. $S(X)$) be the free monoid (resp. semigroup) on X. For any $u \in X^*$, we denote $|u|$ the length (degree) of u. Let $k\langle X_+\rangle = kS(X)$ $(resp.\ k\langle X\rangle = kX^*)$ be the free associative algebra on X without identity (resp. with identity) over a field k.

A well order $>$ on X^* is called monomial if for any $u, v, w \in X^*$,

$$u > v \Rightarrow uw > vw,\ wu > wv.$$

A classical example of monomial order on X^* is the deg-lex order, which first compare two words by degree (length) and then by comparing them lexicographically, where X is a well-ordered set.

Now, we assume that X^* is equipped with a monomial order $>$. For every nonzero polynomial $f \in k\langle X_+\rangle$, denote $\bar{f}$ the leading word of f with respect to the order $>$. If the coefficient of $\bar{f}$ in f is equal to 1, then f is called monic. If $S \subseteq k\langle X_+\rangle$ with each $s \in S$ monic, then S is also called monic.

Let f and g be two monic polynomials in $k\langle X_+\rangle$. There are two kinds of compositions:

(i) If $w = \bar{f}a = b\bar{g}$ for some $a, b \in X^*$ with $|\bar{f}|+|\bar{g}| > |w|$, then the polynomial $(f, g)_w = fa - bg$ is called the intersection composition of f and g with respect to the ambiguity w.

(ii) If $w = \bar{f} = a\bar{g}b$ for some $a, b \in X^*$, then the polynomial $(f, g)_w = f - agb$ is called the inclusion composition of f and g with respect to the ambiguity w.

Let $S \subseteq k\langle X_+\rangle$ be monic. The composition $(f, g)_w$ is called trivial modulo (S, w) if $(f, g)_w = \sum \alpha_i a_i s_i b_i$, where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in X^*$, $s_i \in S$ and $a_i\overline{s_i}b_i < w$. If this is the case, then we write $(f, g)_w \equiv 0\ mod(S, w)$.

A monic set S is called a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $k\langle X_+\rangle$ if any composition $(f, g)_w$ of polynomials $f, g \in S$ is trivial modulo (S, w).

A Gröbner-Shirshov basis S in $k\langle X_+\rangle$ is minimal if there is no inclusion composition in S. Let $\mathfrak{c} = k\langle X_+|R\rangle$ be an associative algebra generated by X and defining relations R. Then for any monomial order on X^*, one can find a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis S in $k\langle X_+\rangle$ such that $\mathfrak{c} = k\langle X_+|R\rangle = k\langle X_+|S\rangle$, see, [12].

The following theorem was proved by A.I. Shirshov [41] for Lie algebras (with deg-lex order) in 1962 (see also L.A. Bokut [5]). In 1976, L.A. Bokut [6] specialized the approach of A.I. Shirshov to associative algebras (see also G.M. Bergman [4]). For commutative polynomials, this lemma is known as the Buchberger's Theorem (see [16, 17]).

Theorem 2.1 *(Composition-Diamond lemma for associative algebras) Let $S \subseteq k\langle X_+\rangle$ be monic, $Id(S)$ the ideal of $k\langle X_+\rangle$ generated by S and $>$ a monomial order on X^*. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $k\langle X_+\rangle$.

(b) $f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow \bar{f} = a\bar{s}b$ for some $s \in S$ and $a, b \in X^*$.

(c) $Irr(S) = \{u \in S(X)|u \neq a\bar{s}b, s \in S, a, b \in X^*\}$ is a linear basis of the associative algebra $k\langle X_+|S\rangle = k\langle X_+\rangle/Id(S)$.

2.2 A characterization of extensions of associative algebras

Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ be associative algebras over a field k. In this subsection, by using Gröbner-Shirshov bases theory for associative algebras, we give a complete characterization of extensions of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is presented by generators and relations.

Definition 2.2 *Let $\mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ be two associative algebras. Then $\mathfrak{c}$ is called a compatible $\mathfrak{d}$-bimodule if $\mathfrak{c}$ is a $\mathfrak{d}$-bimodule and for any $c, c' \in \mathfrak{c}$ and $d \in \mathfrak{d}$,*

$$(c \cdot d)c' = c(d \cdot c'), \quad (d \cdot c)c' = d \cdot (cc'), \quad (cc') \cdot d = c(c' \cdot d),$$

where $\cdot$ is the $\mathfrak{d}$-bimodule operation.

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an associative algebra with a linear basis A and the multiplication table: $aa' = \{aa'\}, a, a' \in A$, where $\{aa'\}$ is a linear combination of elements in A. This means that $\mathfrak{a} = k\langle A_+ | aa' - \{aa'\}, a, a' \in A\rangle$. Let $\mathfrak{b} = k\langle B_+ | R\rangle$ be an associative algebra generated by a set B with defining relations R.

Assume that $>_{B^*}$ is a monomial order on B^*, $>_A$ a well order on A and $>_{A^*}$ the deg-lex order on A^*. Let $>_{(A\cup B)^*}$ be the lex order on $(A \cup B)^*$ with respect to the order $>_{A\cup B}$ on $A \cup B$ such that $b >_{A\cup B} a$ for any $a \in A, b \in B$.

Now we define a monomial order $(A \cup B)^*$.

For any $w \in (A \cup B)^*$, w can be uniquely expressed as

$$w = u_0 v_1 u_1 v_2 u_2 \cdots v_n u_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $v_i \in S(B), i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, $u_0, u_n \in A^*$ and $u_j \in S(A), j = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$. Denote $w_{_B} = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n$ for $n > 0$ and $w_{_B} = 1$ for $n = 0$, $w_{_A} = u_0 u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$, and $wt(w) = (w_{_B}, w_{_A}, w)$.

For any $w, w' \in (A \cup B)^*$, define

$$w > w' \text{ if } \ wt(w) > wt(w') \quad \text{lexicographically} \tag{1}$$

It is clear that such an order is a monomial order on $(A \cup B)^*$, which is an extension of the order $>_{B^*}$ on B^*.

For convenience, we may assume that R is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $k\langle B_+\rangle$ with respect to $>_{B^*}$.

Let $\lfloor\ \rfloor : R \to \mathfrak{a}$ be a map, which is called a factor set of $\mathfrak{b}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $k\langle B_+\rangle$-bimodule with the module operation $\cdot$. Define

$$E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = k\langle (A \cup B)_+ | R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}\rangle,$$

where $R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ is the set consisting of the following polynomials in $k\langle (A \cup B)_+\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{aa'} : &= aa' - \{aa'\}, && a, a' \in A,\\
r_{ab} : &= ab - a \cdot b, && a \in A, b \in B,\\
l_{ba} : &= ba - b \cdot a, && a \in A, b \in B,\\
h_f : &= f - \lfloor f \rfloor, && f \in R.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 *With the order defined by (1), $R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $k\langle(A\cup B)_+\rangle$ if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

(a) For any $a\in A, f\in R$, $f\cdot a=\{\lfloor f\rfloor a\}$, $a\cdot f=\{a\lfloor f\rfloor\}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$.

(b) For any intersection composition $(f_1,f_2)_w$, $f_1,f_2\in R$, $w=\overline{f_1}c=d\overline{f_2}, c,d\in B^*$ with $|\overline{f_1}|+|\overline{f_2}|>|w|$, if $(f_1,f_2)_w=f_1c-df_2=\sum\alpha_ic_ig_id_i$, where each $\alpha_i\in k, c_i,d_i\in B^*, g_i\in R, c_i\overline{g_i}d_i<w$, then in $\mathfrak{a}$,

$$\lfloor f_1\rfloor\cdot c-d\cdot\lfloor f_2\rfloor=\sum\alpha_ic_i\cdot\lfloor g_i\rfloor\cdot d_i.$$

Moreover, if this is the case, $E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$.

Proof. Since R is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $k\langle B_+\rangle$, there is no inclusion composition in $R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$. Then, the only possible intersection compositions in $R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ are related to the following ambiguities:

$$aa'a'',\ \overline{f}a=vba,\ a\overline{f}=abv,\ \overline{f_1}c=d\overline{f_2},\ aba',\ bab',\ baa',\ aa'b,$$

where $a,a',a''\in A,\ b,b'\in B,\ f,f_1,f_2\in R,\ v,c,d\in B^*,\ |\overline{f_1}|+|\overline{f_2}|>|\overline{f_1}c|$.

If $w=aa'a''$, then $(f_{aa'},f_{a'a''})_w\equiv 0\ mod(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)},w)$.

If $w=\overline{f}a=vba$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(h_f,l_{ba})_w &= (f-\lfloor f\rfloor)a-v(ba-b\cdot a)\equiv(f-\overline{f})a-\lfloor f\rfloor a+v(b\cdot a)\\ &\equiv (f-\overline{f})\cdot a-\lfloor f\rfloor a+(vb)\cdot a\equiv f\cdot a-\{\lfloor f\rfloor a\}\ \ mod(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)},w).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(h_f,l_{ba})_w\equiv 0\ \ mod(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)},w)$ if and only if $f\cdot a=\{\lfloor f\rfloor a\}$.

Similarly, $(r_{ab},h_f)_w\equiv 0\ mod(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)},w)$ if and only if $a\cdot f=\{a\lfloor f\rfloor\}$, where $w=abv=a\overline{f}$.

If $w=\overline{f_1}c=d\overline{f_2}$ with $|\overline{f_1}|+|\overline{f_2}|>|w|$, where $f_1,f_2\in R$, then

$$(h_{f_1},h_{f_2})_w=(f_1-\lfloor f_1\rfloor)c-d(f_2-\lfloor f_2\rfloor)=(f_1c-df_2)-\lfloor f_1\rfloor c+d\lfloor f_2\rfloor.$$

Since R is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $k\langle B_+\rangle$, we have

$$(f_1,f_2)_w=f_1c-df_2=\sum\alpha_ic_ig_id_i,$$

where each $\alpha_i\in k,\ g_i\in R,\ c_i,d_i\in B^*$ and $c_i\overline{g_i}d_i<w$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(h_{f_1},h_{f_2})_w &= \sum\alpha_ic_i(g_i-\lfloor g_i\rfloor)d_i+\sum\alpha_ic_i\lfloor g_i\rfloor d_i-\lfloor f_1\rfloor c+d\lfloor f_2\rfloor\\ &\equiv \sum\alpha_ic_i\lfloor g_i\rfloor d_i-\lfloor f_1\rfloor c+d\lfloor f_2\rfloor\\ &\equiv \sum\alpha_ic_i\cdot\lfloor g_i\rfloor\cdot d_i-\lfloor f_1\rfloor\cdot c+d\cdot\lfloor f_2\rfloor\ mod(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)},w).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(h_{f_1},h_{f_2})_w\equiv 0\ mod(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)},w)$ if and only if

$$\lfloor f_1\rfloor\cdot c-d\cdot\lfloor f_2\rfloor=\sum\alpha_ic_i\cdot\lfloor g_i\rfloor\cdot d_i.$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $k\langle B_+\rangle$-bimodule, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
(r_{ab}, l_{ba'})_w &= 0 \ \ mod(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}, w), \quad w = aba', \\
(l_{ba}, r_{ab'})_w &= 0 \ \ mod(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}, w), \quad w = bab', \\
(l_{ba}, f_{aa'})_w &= 0 \ \ mod(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}, w), \quad w = baa', \\
(f_{aa'}, r_{a'b})_w &= 0 \ \ mod(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}, w), \quad w = aa'b.
\end{aligned}$$

For example, if $w = aba'$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(r_{ab}, l_{ba'})_w &= (ab - a\cdot b)a' - a(ba' - b\cdot a') \equiv -(a\cdot b)a' + a(b\cdot a') \\
&\equiv \{(a\cdot b)a'\} - \{a(b\cdot a')\} \equiv 0 \ \ mod(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see that $R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $k\langle(A\cup B)_+\rangle$ if and only if the conditions (a) and (b) hold.

If $R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis, then by Composition-Diamond lemma for associative algebras, we have that $Irr(R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}) = A\cup Irr(R)$ is a linear basis of $E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$, where $Irr(R) = \{w \in S(B) | w \neq a\bar{f}b, f \in R, a, b \in B^*\}$. Thus, $E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = \mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{b}$ as linear space with the multiplication: for any $a, a' \in A$ and $u, u' \in Irr(R)$,

$$(a+u)(a'+u') = \{aa'\} + a\cdot u' + u\cdot a' + \sum \delta_i u_i + \sum \beta_j c_j \cdot \lfloor g_j \rfloor \cdot d_j,$$

where $uu' = \sum \delta_i u_i + \sum \beta_j c_j g_j d_j$ in $k\langle B_+\rangle$ and $\delta_i, \beta_j \in k$, $u_i \in Irr(R)$, $g_j \in R, c_j, d_j \in B^*$.

It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of $E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$. Define

$$\pi_{\llcorner\lrcorner,\cdot} : E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = \mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{b}, \ \tilde{a} + \tilde{b} \mapsto \tilde{b}, \ \tilde{a} \in \mathfrak{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{b}.$$

It follows that $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i_{\llcorner\lrcorner,\cdot}} E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\llcorner\lrcorner,\cdot}} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$ is a short exact sequence, where $i_{\llcorner\lrcorner,\cdot}$ is the inclusion map. Therefore, $E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$. □

Two extensions $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{e} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$ and $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i'} \mathfrak{e}' \xrightarrow{\pi'} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$ are said to be equivalent if there exists an algebra homomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{e} \to \mathfrak{e}'$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathfrak{e} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathfrak{b} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi} & & \| & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a} & \xrightarrow{i'} & \mathfrak{e}' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \mathfrak{b} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Let $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{e} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$ be a short exact sequence, where i is the inclusion map. For any $b \in B$, choose a $\tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{e}$ such that $\pi(\tilde{b}) = b$ in $\mathfrak{b}$. Let $\widetilde{\theta} : k\langle(A\cup B)_+\rangle \to \mathfrak{e}$ be the unique homomorphism from $k\langle(A\cup B)_+\rangle$ to $\mathfrak{e}$ such that $\widetilde{\theta}(b) = \tilde{b}$ and $\widetilde{\theta}(a) = a$ for any $b \in B$ and $a \in A$.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $\mathfrak{e}$ be an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$, i.e., $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{e} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$ is a short exact sequence. Define the left (resp. right) $k\langle B_+\rangle$-module operation in $\mathfrak{a}$ as follows:*

$$g \cdot a = \tilde{\theta}(g)a, \ (resp.\ a \cdot g = a\tilde{\theta}(g)), \ a \in A, \ g \in k\langle B_+\rangle$$

and

$$\lfloor\ \rfloor : R \to \mathfrak{a}, \ \lfloor f \rfloor = \widetilde{\theta}(f), \ f \in R.$$

Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $k\langle B_+\rangle$-bimodule and $\lfloor\ \rfloor$ is a factor set of $\mathfrak{b}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$ such that the conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 2.3 hold. Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = k\langle (A \cup B)_+ | R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}\rangle.$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{e}$, we have $\tilde{\theta}(g)a \in \mathfrak{a}$, (resp. $a\tilde{\theta}(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$) for any $g \in k\langle B_+\rangle$ and $a \in A$. Thus, the left (resp. right) operation is well defined. It is easy to check that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $k\langle B_+\rangle$-bimodule.

For any $f \in R$, since $\pi(\widetilde{\theta}(f)) = f = 0$ in $\mathfrak{b}$, we have $\widetilde{\theta}(f) \in \mathfrak{a}$. Thus, $\lfloor\ \rfloor$ is well defined.

(a) For any $a \in A, f \in R$, we have

$$f \cdot a = \tilde{\theta}(f)a = \{\lfloor f \rfloor a\}, \quad a \cdot f = a\tilde{\theta}(f) = \{a\lfloor f \rfloor\}.$$

(b) For any intersection composition $(f_1, f_2)_w$, $f_1, f_2 \in R$, $w = \overline{f_1}c = d\overline{f_2}, c, d \in B^*$ with $|\overline{f_1}| + |\overline{f_2}| > |w|$, if $(f_1, f_2)_w = f_1c - df_2 = \sum \alpha_i c_i g_i d_i$ where each $\alpha_i \in k, c_i, d_i \in B^*, g_i \in R, c_i\overline{g_i}d_i < w$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tilde{\theta}(f_1c - df_2 - \sum \alpha_i c_i g_i d_i) \\ &= \tilde{\theta}(f_1)\tilde{\theta}(c) - \tilde{\theta}(d)\tilde{\theta}(f_2) - \sum \alpha_i \tilde{\theta}(c_i)\tilde{\theta}(g_i)\tilde{\theta}(d_i) \\ &= \lfloor f_1 \rfloor \tilde{\theta}(c) - \tilde{\theta}(d)\lfloor f_2 \rfloor - \sum \alpha_i \tilde{\theta}(c_i)\lfloor g_i \rfloor \tilde{\theta}(d_i) \\ &= \lfloor f_1 \rfloor \cdot c - d \cdot \lfloor f_2 \rfloor - \sum \alpha_i c_i \cdot \lfloor g_i \rfloor \cdot d_i. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{e}$ spanned by $\tilde{\theta}(Irr(R)) = \{\tilde{\theta}(u) | u \in Irr(R)\}$. For any $u, u' \in Irr(R)$, if $uu' = \sum \delta_i u_i + \sum \beta_j c_j g_j d_j$ in $k\langle B_+\rangle$, where $\delta_i, \beta_j \in k$, $u_i \in Irr(R)$, $c_j, d_j \in B^*$ and $g_j \in R$, then

$$\tilde{\theta}(u)\tilde{\theta}(u') = \tilde{\theta}(uu') = \sum \delta_i \tilde{\theta}(u_i) + \sum \beta_j \tilde{\theta}(c_j)\lfloor g_j \rfloor \tilde{\theta}(d_j).$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ as vector space with the multiplication: for any $a, a' \in A$ and $u, u' \in Irr(R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + \tilde{\theta}(u))(a' + \tilde{\theta}(u')) \\ = \ & aa' + \tilde{\theta}(u)a' + a\tilde{\theta}(u) + \sum \delta_i \tilde{\theta}(u_i) + \sum \beta_j \tilde{\theta}(c_j)\lfloor g_j \rfloor \tilde{\theta}(d_j) \\ = \ & \{aa'\} + u \cdot a' + a \cdot u + \sum \delta_i \tilde{\theta}(u_i) + \sum \beta_j c_j \cdot \lfloor g_j \rfloor \cdot d_j. \end{aligned}$$

Define a linear map

$$\varphi : E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}},\ a \mapsto a,\ u \mapsto \tilde{\theta}(u),\quad a \in A,\ u \in Irr(R).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, we can see that φ is an algebra isomorphism. $\square$

Remark: By Lemma 2.4, for a given extension $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{e} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$, it is equivalent to some extension $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i_{\llcorner\lrcorner,\cdot}} E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\llcorner\lrcorner,\cdot}} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$, where $\cdot$ is a compatible $k\langle B_+\rangle$-bimodule operation on $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\lfloor\ \rfloor$ is a factor set such that the conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 2.3 hold. If this the case, we call $(\lfloor\ \rfloor, \cdot)$ a special extension pair associated to the extension $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{e} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$.

Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $k\langle X_+\rangle$. Then for any $f \in k\langle X_+\rangle$, by Theorem 2.1, there is a unique $Red_S(f) \in kIrr(S)$ such that $f = Red_S(f)$ in $k\langle X_+|S\rangle$, where $kIrr(S)$ is the k-linear space spanned by $Irr(S)$.

Let $\eta : B \to \mathfrak{a}$ be a map and $\Gamma_\eta : k\langle (A \cup B)_+\rangle \to k\langle (A \cup B)_+\rangle$ the unique homomorphism such that $\Gamma_\eta(b) = b - \eta(b)$ and $\Gamma_\eta(a) = a$ for any $b \in B,\ a \in A$. If $R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor',\cdot')}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $k\langle (A \cup B)_+\rangle$, then for any $g \in k\langle B_+\rangle \subseteq k\langle (A \cup B)_+\rangle$, we have a unique $Red_{R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor',\cdot')}}(g - \Gamma_\eta(g)) \in \mathfrak{a}$.

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have the following theorem which gives a complete characterization of associative extensions of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$.

Theorem 2.5 *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an associative algebra with a linear basis A and the multiplication table: $aa' = \{aa'\}, a, a' \in A$, and $\mathfrak{b} = k\langle B_+|R\rangle$ an associative algebra generated by a set B with defining relations R. Assume that R is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $k\langle B_+\rangle$ with respect to a monomial order $>$ on B^*. Then the following two statements hold.*

(I) An associative algebra $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = k\left\langle (A \cup B)_+ \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{ll} aa' - \{aa'\}, & a, a' \in A \\ ab - a \cdot b, ba - b \cdot a, & a \in A, b \in B \\ f - \lfloor f \rfloor, & f \in R \end{array} \right\rangle$$

for some compatible $k\langle B_+\rangle$-bimodule structure in $\mathfrak{a}$ (denote the module operation by $\cdot$) and some factor set $\lfloor\ \rfloor : R \to \mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{b}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$ such that

(a) For any $a \in A, f \in R$, $f \cdot a = \{\lfloor f \rfloor a\},\ \ a \cdot f = \{a \lfloor f \rfloor\}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$.

(b) For any intersection composition $(f_1, f_2)_w$, $f_1, f_2 \in R$, $w = \overline{f_1}c = d\overline{f_2}$, $c, d \in B^*$ with $|\overline{f_1}| + |\overline{f_2}| > |w|$, if $(f_1, f_2)_w = f_1 c - df_2 = \sum \alpha_i c_i g_i d_i$ where each $\alpha_i \in k, c_i, d_i \in B^*, g_i \in R, c_i\overline{g_i}d_i < w$, then in $\mathfrak{a}$,

$$\lfloor f_1 \rfloor \cdot c - d \cdot \lfloor f_2 \rfloor = \sum \alpha_i c_i \cdot \lfloor g_i \rfloor \cdot d_i.$$

(II) Two extensions $\mathfrak{e}$ and $\mathfrak{e}'$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ are equivalent if and only if there exists a map $\eta : B \to \mathfrak{a}$ such that in $\mathfrak{a}$

$$a \cdot' b - a \cdot b = \{a\eta(b)\},\ b \cdot' a - b \cdot a = \{\eta(b)a\} \tag{2}$$

$$\lfloor f \rfloor' - \lfloor f \rfloor = Red_{R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor',\cdot')}}(f - \Gamma_\eta(f)) \tag{3}$$

for any $a \in A,\ b \in B$ and $f \in R$, where $(\lfloor\ \rfloor, \cdot)$ (resp. $(\lfloor\ \rfloor', \cdot')$) is a special extension pair associated to e (resp. e').

Proof. (I) This part follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4.

(II) ($\Longrightarrow$) Assume that φ is a homomorphism and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a} & \xrightarrow{i_{\llcorner\lrcorner,\cdot}} & E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\llcorner\lrcorner,\cdot}} & \mathfrak{b} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi} & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a} & \xrightarrow{i_{\llcorner\lrcorner',\cdot'}} & E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor',\cdot')} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\llcorner\lrcorner',\cdot'}} & \mathfrak{b} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

For any $b \in B$, by the commutative diagram, we have

$$\pi_{\llcorner\lrcorner',\cdot'}(\varphi(b)) = \pi_{\llcorner\lrcorner,\cdot}(b) = b = \pi_{\llcorner\lrcorner',\cdot'}(b).$$

Thus, $b - \varphi(b) \in \mathfrak{a}$. Define $\eta : B \to \mathfrak{a},\ b \mapsto b - \varphi(b)$. Then, it is easy to check that (2) and (3) are true.

($\Longleftarrow$) Let $\widetilde{\varphi} : k\langle (A \cup B)_+ \rangle \to E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor',\cdot')}$ be the unique homomorphism from $k\langle (A \cup B)_+ \rangle$ to $E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor',\cdot')}$ such that $\widetilde{\varphi}(a) = a$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}(b) = b - \eta(b)$ for any $a \in A, b \in B$. By (2) and (3), we have $\widetilde{\varphi}(h) = 0$ for any $h \in R_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\varphi}$ induces a homomorphism $\varphi : E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} \to E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor',\cdot')}$ which makes the diagram (4) commutative. □

2.3 Some special cases of extensions of associative algebras

Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an associative algebra with a well-ordered linear basis B and the multiplication table: $bb' = \{bb'\}, b, b' \in B$. It is easy to see that $R = \{bb' - \{bb'\} | b, b' \in B\}$ is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis with respect to the deg-lex order on B^*.

For any $b, b' \in B$, denote $(b, b') = \lfloor bb' - \{bb'\} \rfloor$, which can be extended to be a bilinear map $(,) : \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{a}$.

By Theorem 2.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6 *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{b}$) be an associative algebra with a linear basis A (resp. B) and the multiplication table: $aa' = \{aa'\}, a, a' \in A$ (resp. $bb' =$*

$\{bb'\}, b, b' \in B$). *Then an associative algebra* $\mathfrak{e}$ *is an extension of* $\mathfrak{a}$ *by* $\mathfrak{b}$ *if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong k\left\langle (A\cup B)_+ \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{ll} aa' - \{aa'\}, & a, a' \in A \\ ab - a\cdot b,\ ba - b\cdot a, & a \in A, b \in B \\ bb' - \{bb'\} - (b, b'), & b, b' \in B \end{array} \right\rangle$$

for some compatible $k\langle B_+\rangle$*-bimodule structure in* $\mathfrak{a}$ *(denote the module operation by* $\cdot$*) and some bilinear map* $(,) : \mathfrak{b}\times\mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{a}$ *such that for any* $a \in A, b, b', b'' \in B$,

$$(bb' - \{bb'\})\cdot a = \{(b, b')a\}, \quad a\cdot(bb' - \{bb'\}) = \{a(b, b')\},$$

$$(\{bb'\}, b'') + (b, b')\cdot b'' - (b, \{b'b''\}) - b\cdot(b', b'') = 0.$$

Now, we consider another special case of Theorem 2.5: $\mathfrak{a}^2 = 0$.

Corollary 2.7 *([21]) Let* $\mathfrak{a}$ *be an associative algebra with a linear basis* A *and* $\mathfrak{b} = k\langle B_+|R\rangle$*, where* R *is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis in* $k\langle B_+\rangle$*. If* $\mathfrak{a}^2 = 0$*, then an associative algebra* $\mathfrak{e}$ *is an extension of* $\mathfrak{a}$ *by* $\mathfrak{b}$ *if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\bullet)} = k\left\langle (A\cup B)_+ \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{ll} aa', & a, a' \in A \\ ab - a\bullet b,\ ba - b\bullet a, & a \in A, b \in B \\ f - \lfloor f\rfloor, & f \in R \end{array} \right\rangle$$

for some $\mathfrak{b}$*-bimodule structure in* $\mathfrak{a}$ *(denote the module operation by* $\bullet$*) and some factor set* $\lfloor\ \rfloor : R \to \mathfrak{a}$ *of* $\mathfrak{b}$ *in* $\mathfrak{a}$ *such that*

(b) For any intersection composition $(f_1, f_2)_w$*,* $f_1, f_2 \in R$*,* $w = \overline{f_1}c = d\overline{f_2}$*,* $c, d \in B^*$ *with* $|\overline{f_1}| + |\overline{f_2}| > |w|$*, if* $(f_1, f_2)_w = f_1c - df_2 = \sum \alpha_i c_i g_i d_i$ *where each* $\alpha_i \in k, c_i, d_i \in B^*, g_i \in R, c_i\overline{g_i}d_i < w$*, then*

$$\lfloor f_1\rfloor\bullet c - d\bullet\lfloor f_2\rfloor = \sum \alpha_i c_i \bullet \lfloor g_i\rfloor \bullet d_i.$$

Proof. ($\Longleftarrow$) If $\mathfrak{a}^2 = 0$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ is a $\mathfrak{b}$-bimodule with the module operation $\bullet$, then it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $k\langle B_+\rangle$-bimodule with the module operation

$$a\cdot g = a\bullet(g + Id(R)),\ g\cdot a = (g + Id(R))\bullet a,\ a \in A, g \in k\langle B_+\rangle.$$

For any $a \in A, f \in R$, since $\mathfrak{a}^2 = 0$, we have

$$f\cdot a = (f + Id(R))\bullet a = 0 = \{\lfloor f\rfloor a\}, \quad a\cdot f = a\bullet(f + Id(R)) = 0 = \{a\lfloor f\rfloor\}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.5, $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$.

($\Longrightarrow$) If $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$, then by Theorem 2.5, $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $k\langle B_+\rangle$-bimodule and $\mathfrak{e} \cong E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ for some factor set $\lfloor\ \rfloor : R \to \mathfrak{a}$ such that the conditions (a) and (b) in Theorem 2.5 hold.

Since $\mathfrak{a}^2 = 0$, for any $f \in R, a \in A$, we have

$$f \cdot a = \{\lfloor f \rfloor a\} = 0,\ a \cdot f = \{a \lfloor f \rfloor\} = 0.$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{a}$ is $\mathfrak{b}$-bimodule with the operation:

$$a \bullet (g + Id(R)) = a \cdot g,\ (g + Id(R)) \bullet a = g \cdot a,\ a \in A, g \in k\langle B_+ \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{e} \cong E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = E_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\bullet)}$ and the condition (b) holds. □

By Corollaries 2.6 and 2.7, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8 *([35]) Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an associative algebra with a linear basis A and $\mathfrak{b}$ be an associative algebra with a linear basis B and the multiplication table: $bb' = \{bb'\}, b, b' \in B$. If $\mathfrak{a}^2 = 0$, then an algebra $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong k\left\langle (A \cup B)_+ \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{ll} aa', & a, a' \in A \\ ab - a \bullet b,\ ba - b \bullet a, & a \in A, b \in B \\ bb' - \{bb'\} - (b, b'), & b, b' \in B \end{array} \right\rangle$$

for some $\mathfrak{b}$-bimodule structure in $\mathfrak{a}$ (denote the module operation by $\bullet$) and some bilinear map $(,) : \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{a}$ such that for any $b, b', b'' \in B$,

$$(\{bb'\}, b'') + (b, b') \bullet b'' - (b, \{b'b''\}) - b \bullet (b', b'') = 0.$$

2.4 Applications

In this subsection, we assume that $\mathfrak{a}$ is an associative algebra with a well-ordered linear basis A and multiplication table: $aa' = \{aa'\}, a, a' \in A$. Let $\mathfrak{b} = k\langle B_+ | R \rangle$ with B a well-ordered set. We assume that the order on $(A \cup B)^*$ is the deg-lex order such that $b > a$ for any $b \in B, a \in A$.

By Theorem 2.5 and direct computations, we have the following examples.

Example 2.9 *Let $\mathfrak{b} = k\langle \{b\}_+ | b^n = f(b) \rangle$ be a cyclic associative algebra, where n is a natural number and $f(b)$ is a polynomial of degree less than n such that $f(0) = 0$. Then an associative algebra $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong k\langle (A \cup \{b\})_+ | aa' - \{aa'\}, b^n - f(b) - \tilde{a}, ba - b \cdot a, ab - a \cdot b, a, a' \in A \rangle$$

for some compatible $k\langle \{b\}_+ \rangle$-bimodule structure in $\mathfrak{a}$ and some $\tilde{a} \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that for any $a \in A$,

$$b^n \cdot a - f(b) \cdot a = \{\tilde{a}a\}, a \cdot b^n - a \cdot f(b) = \{a\tilde{a}\},\ b^i \cdot \tilde{a} = \tilde{a} \cdot b^i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Example 2.10 *Let $\mathfrak{b} = k\langle B_+ | bb' - b'b, b > b', b, b' \in B \rangle$ be the free commutative algebra on B. Then an associative algebra $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong k\langle (A \cup B)_+ | aa' - \{aa'\}, ba - b \cdot a, ab - a \cdot b, bb' - b'b - (b, b'), a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B \rangle$$

for some compatible $k\langle B_+\rangle$-bimodule structure in $\mathfrak{a}$ and some factor set $\{(b,b')\in \mathfrak{a}|b,b'\in B, b>b'\}$ such that for any $a\in A$ and $b,b',b''\in B, b>b'>b''$,

$$b\cdot(b'\cdot a)-b'\cdot(b\cdot a)=\{(b,b')a\},\ (a\cdot b)\cdot b'-(a\cdot b')\cdot b=\{a(b,b')\},$$

$$(b',b'')\cdot b+b'\cdot(b,b'')+(b,b')\cdot b''-(b,b'')\cdot b'-b''\cdot(b,b')-b\cdot(b',b'')=0.$$

3 Extensions of Lie algebras

3.1 Composition-Diamond lemma for Lie algebras

In this subsection, we review the Gröbner-Shirshov bases theory for Lie algebras, which can be found in [7, 12, 41, 44].

Let X be a set which is equipped with a well order $>_X$ and $\succ$ (resp. $>$) be the lex order (resp. deg-lex) order on X^* with respect to the order $>_X$. Let X^{**} be the set of all non-associative words on X.

With the lex order $\succ$ on X^*, we give the definition of Lyndon-Shirshov words, see [7, 12, 41, 44] for details.

A nonempty word $w\in X^*$ is called an associative Lyndon-Shirshov word on X if $w=uv, u,v\neq 1\Rightarrow w\succ vu$. A non-associative word $(u)\in X^{**}$ is a non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov word, denoted by $[u]$, if

(a) u is an associative Lyndon-Shirshov word;

(b) if $(u)=((u_1)(u_2))$, then both (u_1) and (u_2) are non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov words;

(c) if $(u_1)=((u_1')(u_1''))$, then $u_1''\preceq u_2$.

Denote $ALSW(X)$ (resp. $NLSW(X)$) to be the set of all associative (resp. non-associative) Lyndon-Shirshov words on X.

In fact, for any associative Lyndon-Shirshov word w, there is a unique bracketing way $[w]$ such that $[w]$ is a non-associative Lyndon-Shirshov word. Let $k\langle X\rangle$ be the free associative algebra with identity on X over a field k and $Lie(X)$ the Lie subalgebra of $k\langle X\rangle$ generated by X under the Lie bracket $(uv)=uv-vu$. It is well known that $Lie(X)$ is a free Lie algebra on X with a linear basis $NLSW(X)$.

Let $(u)=(x_1x_2\cdots x_m)\in X^{**}$, where each $x_i\in X$. Denote $cont(u)$ the multiset $\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_m\}$.

Lemma 3.1 *([7, 41, 44]) For any non-associative word $(u)\in X^{**}$, (u) has a unique representation*

$$(u)=\alpha_1[u_1]+\alpha_2[u_2]+\cdots+\alpha_n[u_n],$$

where each $\alpha_i\in k$ and $u_i\in ALSW(X)$, $u_1>u_2>\cdots u_n$ and $cont(u)=cont(u_i)$.

For any $f \in k\langle X\rangle$, denote $\overline{f}$ the leading word of f with respect to the deg-lex order $>$ on X^*. If $[w] \in NLSW(X)$, then we have $\overline{[w]} = w$.

If $w = avb$ with w and v associative Lyndon-Shirshov words, then

$$[w] = [a[vc]d],$$

where $b = cd$ for some $c, d \in X^*$. Denote

$$[w]_v = [w]|_{[vc]\mapsto[\cdots[[[v][c_1]][c_2]]\cdots[c_n]]},$$

where $c = c_1c_2\cdots c_n$, each c_i is an associative Lyndon-Shirshov word and $c_1 \preceq c_2 \preceq \cdots \preceq c_n$. Then $\overline{[w]_v} = w$.

Let $s \in Lie(X)$ be a monic polynomial. If $a\overline{s}b$ is an associative Lyndon-Shirshov word, define

$$[asb]_{\overline{s}} = [a\overline{s}b]_{\overline{s}}|_{[\overline{s}]\mapsto s}$$

which is called a special normal s-word.

Let $f, g \in Lie(X)$ be monic. There are two kinds of compositions.

(i) If $w = \bar{f}a = b\bar{g}$ for some $a, b \in X^*$ with $|\bar{f}| + |\bar{g}| > |w|$, then $\langle f, g\rangle_w = [fa]_{\overline{f}} - [bg]_{\overline{g}}$ is called the intersection composition of f and g with respect to the ambiguity w.

(ii) If $w = \overline{f} = a\overline{g}b$ for some $a, b \in X^*$, then $\langle f, g\rangle_w = f - [agb]_{\overline{g}}$ is called the inclusion composition of f and g with respect to the ambiguity w.

If S is a monic subset of $Lie(X)$, then the composition $\langle f, g\rangle_w$ is called trivial modulo (S, w), if $\langle f, g\rangle_w = \sum \alpha_i[a_is_ib_i]_{\overline{s_i}}$, where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in X^*$, $s_i \in S$, $a_i\overline{s_i}b_i \in ALSW(X)$ and $a_i\overline{s_i}b_i < w$. If this is the case, then we write

$$\langle f, g\rangle_w \equiv 0 \ mod(S, w).$$

A monic set S is called a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(X)$ if any composition $\langle f, g\rangle_w$ of $f, g \in S$ is trivial modulo (S, w).

A Gröbner-Shirshov basis S in $Lie(X)$ is minimal if there is no inclusion composition in S. Let $\mathfrak{c} = Lie(X|R)$ be a Lie algebra generated by X and defining relations R. Then, one can find a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis S in $Lie(X)$ such that $\mathfrak{c} = Lie(X|R) = Lie(X|S)$, see, [12].

Theorem 3.2 *([7, 41, 44]) (Composition-Diamond lemma for Lie algebras) Let $S \subseteq Lie(X)$ be a non-empty monic set, $Id(S)$ the ideal of $Lie(X)$ generated by S and $>$ the deg-lex order on X^*. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(X)$.

(ii) $f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow \bar{f} = a\bar{s}b \in ALSW(X)$ for some $s \in S$ and $a, b \in X^*$.

(iii) The set

$$Irr(S) = \{[w]|w \in ALSW(X), w \neq a\bar{s}b, s \in S, a, b \in X^*\}$$

is a linear basis of the Lie algebra $Lie(X|S) = Lie(X)/Id(S)$.

3.2 A characterization of extensions of Lie algebras

Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ be Lie algebras over a field k. In this subsection, by using the the Gröbner-Shirshov bases theory for Lie algebras, we give a complete characterization of extensions of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is presented by generators and relations.

Definition 3.3 *Let $\mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ be two Lie algebras. Then $\mathfrak{c}$ is called a compatible $\mathfrak{d}$-module if $\mathfrak{c}$ is a $\mathfrak{d}$-module and for any $c, c' \in \mathfrak{c}$ and $d \in \mathfrak{d}$,*

$$d \cdot [cc'] = [(d \cdot c)c'] + [c(d \cdot c')],$$

where $\cdot$ is the $\mathfrak{d}$-module operation.

Let $\mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ be two Lie algebras and $\mathfrak{c}$ a $\mathfrak{d}$-module relating to the representation $\phi : \mathfrak{d} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{c})$, where $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{c})$ is the Lie algebra of all linear transformations of $\mathfrak{c}$. Then $\mathfrak{c}$ is a compatible $\mathfrak{d}$-module if and only if $\phi(d)$ is a derivation of $\mathfrak{c}$ for any $d \in \mathfrak{d}$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a Lie algebra with a linear basis A and the multiplication table: $[aa'] - [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}}, a, a' \in A$ and $\mathfrak{b} = Lie(B|R)$ a Lie algebra generated by generators B and defining relations R.

Let $>$ be a well order on B and extend it to a deg-lex order $>$ on $(A \cup B)^*$ such that $b > a$ for any $b \in B, a \in A$. For convenience, we may assume that R is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(B)$ with respect to the deg-lex order on B^*. For any $f \in R$, denote $r_f = f - \overline{f}$, where $\overline{f}$ is the leading word of f with respect to the deg-lex order $>$. Then for any $f \in Lie(B)$, by Theorem 3.2, there is a unique $Red_R(f) \in kIrr(R)$ such that $f = Red_R(f)$ in $Lie(B|R)$, where $kIrr(R)$ is the k-linear space spanned by $Irr(R)$. Note that for any $f, g \in Lie(B)$, we have

$$Red_R((fg)) = Red_R((Red_R(f)g)) = Red_R((Red_R(f)Red_R(g))).$$

Let $\lfloor \ \rfloor : R \to \mathfrak{a}$ be a map, which is called a factor set of $\mathfrak{b}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $Lie(B)$-module with the module operation $\cdot$.

It is easy to see that $S_{(\cdot)} = \{[ba] - b \cdot a | a \in A, b \in B\}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(A \cup B)$. If $g = \sum \alpha_i(v_i a_i w_i)$, where each $\alpha_i \in k$ and $(v_i a_i w_i) \in (A \cup B)^{**}, v_i, w_i \in B^*, a_i \in A$, then we can see that $Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Define

$$LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = Lie(A \cup B | LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}),$$

where $LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ is the set consisting of the following polynomials in $Lie(A \cup B)$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{aa'} : &= [aa'] - [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}}, \quad a, a' \in A, a > a', \\ t_{ba} : &= [ba] - b \cdot a, \qquad b \in B, a \in A, \\ h_f : &= f - \lfloor f \rfloor, \qquad f \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4 *If $((v)a) \in (A \cup B)^{**}, v \in B^*, a \in A$, then $Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}(((v)a)) = (v) \cdot a$. It follows that for any $f \in R$, $a \in A$, $Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}((fa)) = f \cdot a$.*

Proof. Induction on $|v|$. If $|v| = 0$ or 1, then the result is trivial. Assume that the result is true for (v) with $|v| < n$. Let $|v| = n \geq 2$ and $(v) = ((v_1)(v_2))$. Since

$$((v)a) = (((v_1)(v_2))a) = (((v_1)a)(v_2)) + ((v_1)((v_2)a))$$

and by induction

$$Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}(((v_1)a))) = (v_1) \cdot a,\ Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}(((v_2)a)) = (v_2) \cdot a,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}(((v)a)) \\ = \ & Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}((((v_1)a)(v_2)) + ((v_1)((v_2)a))) \\ = \ & Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}((Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}(((v_1) \cdot a))(v_2)) + ((v_1)Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}(((v_2) \cdot a)))) \\ = \ & -(v_2) \cdot ((v_1) \cdot a) + (v_1) \cdot ((v_2) \cdot a) \\ = \ & ((v_1)(v_2)) \cdot (a) = (v) \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}(((v)a)) = (v) \cdot a$. □

Lemma 3.5 *Let $u \in ALSW(B)$ and $a \in A$. Then in $Lie(A \cup B)$,*

$$([u]a) = [ua] + \sum \alpha_i [v_i a w_i],$$

where $\alpha_i \in k$, $v_i, w_i \in B^*$ and $v_i a w_i < ua$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$([u]a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i [v_i a w_i],$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $v_i, w_i \in B^*$ and $v_1 a w_1 > v_2 a w_2 > \cdots > v_n a w_n$. Since $([u]a) = [u]a - a[u]$ and $\overline{[u]} = u$, we have $\overline{([u]a)} = ua$ and the coefficient of $\overline{([u]a)}$ is 1. Thus $v_1 a w_1 = ua$ and $\alpha_1 = 1$. Therefore, $([u]a) = [ua] + \sum \alpha_i [v_i a w_i]$. □

Lemma 3.6 *With the above notations, $LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor, \cdot)}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(A \cup B)$ if and only if*

(a) For any $a \in A, f \in R$, $f \cdot a = [\lfloor f \rfloor a]_{\mathfrak{a}}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$.

(b) For any intersection composition $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_w$, where $f_1, f_2 \in R, w = \overline{f_1} c = d\overline{f_2}$, $c, d \in B^*$ and $|\overline{f_1}| + |\overline{f_2}| > |w|$, if

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_w = [f_1 c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [d f_2]_{\overline{f_2}} = \sum \alpha_i [c_i g_i d_i]_{\overline{g_i}},$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $c_i, d_i \in B^*$, $g_i \in R$ and $c_i \overline{g_i} d_i < w$, then in $\mathfrak{a}$,

$$Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([\lfloor f_1 \rfloor c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [d\lfloor f_2 \rfloor]_{\overline{f_2}}) = \sum \alpha_i Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([c_i \lfloor g_i \rfloor d_i]_{\overline{g_i}}).$$

Moreover, if this is the case, $LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$.

Proof. Since R is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(B)$, the only possible compositions in $LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ are related to the following ambiguities:

$$aa'a'',\ baa',\ \overline{f}a = vba,\ \overline{f_1}c = d\overline{f_2},$$

where $f_1, f_2, f \in R,\ v, c, d \in B^*, b \in B,\ a, a', a'' \in A, a > a' > a''$ and $|\overline{f_1}| + |\overline{f_2}| > |\overline{f_1}c|$.

If $w = aa'a''$, then $\langle f_{aa'}, f_{a'a''}\rangle_w \equiv 0 \mod(LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}, w)$ by the Jacobi identity.

If $w = baa'$, then since $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $Lie(B)$-module, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle t_{ba}, f_{aa'}\rangle_w &= [t_{ba}a']_{\overline{t_{ba}}} - [bf_{aa'}]_{\overline{f_{aa'}}} \\
&= (([ba] - b\cdot a)a') - (b([aa'] - [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}})) \\
&= ([ba]a') - ((b\cdot a)a') - [b[aa']] + [b[aa']_{\mathfrak{a}}] \\
&\equiv [[ba']a] - [(b\cdot a)a']_{\mathfrak{a}} + [b[aa']_{\mathfrak{a}}] \\
&\equiv -[a(b\cdot a')]_{\mathfrak{a}} - [(b\cdot a)a']_{\mathfrak{a}} + b\cdot[aa']_{\mathfrak{a}} \\
&\equiv 0 \mod(LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}, w).
\end{aligned}$$

If $w = \overline{f}a = vba$, then by Lemma 3.5,

$$([\bar{f}]a) = ([vb]a) = [vba] + \sum \alpha_i[v_iaw_i],$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k,\ v_i, w_i \in B^*$, and $v_iaw_i < w$. Thus, by Lemma 3.4,

$$[\overline{f}]\cdot a = [vb]\cdot a = Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}(([\bar{f}]a)) = Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([vba]) + \sum \alpha_i Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([v_iaw_i]).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle h_f, t_{ba}\rangle_w &= [(f - \lfloor f\rfloor)a]_{\overline{f}} - [v([ba] - b\cdot a)]_{\overline{t_{ba}}} \\
&= ([\overline{f}]a) + (r_f a) - (\lfloor f\rfloor a) - [v[ba]] + [v(b\cdot a)]_{\overline{t_{ba}}} \\
&\equiv \sum \alpha_i Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([v_iaw_i]) + r_f\cdot a - [\lfloor f\rfloor a]_{\mathfrak{a}} + Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([vba]) \\
&\equiv [vb]\cdot a + r_f\cdot a - [\lfloor f\rfloor a]_{\mathfrak{a}} \\
&\equiv f\cdot a - [\lfloor f\rfloor a]_{\mathfrak{a}} \mod(LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\langle h_f, t_{ba}\rangle_w \equiv 0 \mod(LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}, w)$ if and only if $f\cdot a = [\lfloor f\rfloor a]_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

If $w = \overline{f_1}c = d\overline{f_2},\ f_1, f_2 \in R, c, d \in B^*$ and $|\overline{f_1}| + |\overline{f_2}| > |w|$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle h_{f_1}, h_{f_2}\rangle_w &= [(f_1 - \lfloor f_1\rfloor)c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [d(f_2 - \lfloor f_2\rfloor)]_{\overline{f_2}} \\
&= [f_1c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [df_2]_{\overline{f_2}} + ([d\lfloor f_2\rfloor]_{\overline{f_2}} - [\lfloor f_1\rfloor c]_{\overline{f_1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since R is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(B)$, we have

$$\langle f_1, f_2\rangle_w = [f_1c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [df_2]_{\overline{f_2}} = \sum \alpha_i[c_ig_id_i]_{\overline{g_i}},$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $c_i, d_i \in B^*$, $g_i \in R$ and $c_i\overline{g_i}d_i < w$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle h_{f_1}, h_{f_2}\rangle_w \\
= & \sum \alpha_i[c_i(g_i - \lfloor g_i \rfloor)d_i]_{\overline{g_i}} + \sum \alpha_i[c_i\lfloor g_i\rfloor d_i]_{\overline{g_i}} + [d\lfloor f_2\rfloor]_{\overline{f_2}} - [\lfloor f_1\rfloor)c]_{\overline{f_1}} \\
\equiv & \sum \alpha_i[c_i\lfloor g_i\rfloor d_i]_{\overline{g_i}} + [d\lfloor f_2\rfloor]_{\overline{f_2}} - [\lfloor f_1\rfloor c]_{\overline{f_1}} \\
\equiv & \sum \alpha_i Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([c_i\lfloor g_i\rfloor d_i]_{\overline{g_i}}) - Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([\lfloor f_1\rfloor c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [d\lfloor f_2\rfloor]_{\overline{f_2}})\ mod(LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\langle h_{f_1}, h_{f_2}\rangle_w \equiv 0\ mod(LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}, w)$ if and only if

$$Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([\lfloor f_1\rfloor c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [d\lfloor f_2\rfloor]_{\overline{f_2}}) = \sum \alpha_i Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([c_i\lfloor g_i\rfloor d_i]_{\overline{g_i}}).$$

Therefore, $LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis if and only if the conditions (a) and (b) hold.

If $LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis, then by Composition-Diamond lemma for Lie algebras, we have that $Irr(LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}) = A \cup Irr(R)$ is a linear basis of $LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$, where $Irr(R) = \{[w] | w \in ALSW(B), w \neq c\bar{r}d, r \in R, c, d \in B^*\}$. Therefore, $LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$ as linear space with the following multiplication: for any $a, a' \in A$ and $[u], [u'] \in Irr(R)$,

$$[(a+[u])(a'+[u'])] = [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}} - [u']\cdot a + [u]\cdot a' + \sum \delta_i[u_i] + \sum \beta_j Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([c_j\lfloor g_j\rfloor d_j]_{\overline{f_j}}),$$

where $([u][u']) = \sum \delta_i[u_i] + \sum \beta_j[c_j g_j d_j]_{\overline{g_j}}$ in $Lie(B)$ with each $\delta_i, \beta_j \in k$, $[u_i] \in Irr(R), c_j, d_j \in B^*$, $g_j \in R$.

It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of $LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$. Define

$$\pi_{\lfloor\rfloor,\cdot} : LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{b},\ \tilde{a} + \tilde{b} \mapsto \tilde{b},\quad \tilde{a} \in \mathfrak{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{b}.$$

It follows that $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i_{\lfloor\rfloor,\cdot}} LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\lfloor\rfloor,\cdot}} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$ is a short exact sequence, where $i_{\lfloor\rfloor,\cdot}$ is the inclusion map. Therefore, $LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = Lie(A \cup B | LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)})$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$. □

Let $\mathfrak{e}$ be an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$, i.e., $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{e} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$ is a short exact sequence, where i is the inclusion map. For any $b \in B$, choose a $\tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{e}$ such that $\pi(\tilde{b}) = b$ in $\mathfrak{b}$. Let $\tilde{\theta} : Lie(A \cup B) \to \mathfrak{e}$ be the unique homomorphism from $Lie(A \cup B)$ to $\mathfrak{e}$ such that $\tilde{\theta}(b) = \tilde{b}$ and $\tilde{\theta}(a) = a$ for any $a \in A, b \in B$.

Lemma 3.7 *Let $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$, i.e., $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{e} \to \mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{\pi} 0$ is a short exact sequence. Define the $Lie(B)$-module operation $\cdot$ by*

$$g \cdot a = [\tilde{\theta}(g)a],\ g \in Lie(B), a \in A$$

and

$$\lfloor\ \rfloor : R \to \mathfrak{a},\ f \mapsto \tilde{\theta}(f).$$

Then, $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $Lie(B)$-module and $\lfloor\ \rfloor$ is a factor set such that the conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 3.6 hold. Moreover, $\mathfrak{e} \cong Lie(A \cup B | LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)})$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{e}$, we have $[\tilde{\theta}(g)a] \in \mathfrak{a}$ for any $g \in Lie(B)$ and $a \in A$. Thus, the operation $\cdot$ is well defined. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $Lie(B)$-bimodule.

For any $f \in R$, since $\pi(\widetilde{\theta}(f)) = f = 0$ in $\mathfrak{b}$, we have $\widetilde{\theta}(f) \in \mathfrak{a}$. Thus, $\lfloor\ \rfloor$ is well defined. Let $(vaw) \in (A \cup B)^{**}, v, w \in B^*, a \in A$. Then, it is easy to see that $Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}((vaw)) = \tilde{\theta}((vaw))$. Therefore, we have

(a) For any $a \in A, f \in R$, $f \cdot a = [\tilde{\theta}(f)a] = [\lfloor f \rfloor a]_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

(b) For any intersection composition $\langle f_1, f_2\rangle_w$, where $f_1, f_2 \in R, w = \overline{f_1}c = d\overline{f_2}$, $c, d \in B^*$ and $|\overline{f_1}| + |\overline{f_2}| > |w|$, if

$$\langle f_1, f_2\rangle_w = [f_1 c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [df_2]_{\overline{f_2}} = \sum \alpha_i [c_i g_i d_i]_{\overline{g_i}},$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $g_i \in R$ and $c_i\overline{g_i}d_i < w$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tilde{\theta}([f_1 c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [df_2]_{\overline{f_2}} - \sum \alpha_i [c_i g_i d_i]_{\overline{g_i}}) \\ &= \tilde{\theta}([\lfloor f_1 \rfloor c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [d\lfloor f_2 \rfloor]_{\overline{f_2}} - \sum \alpha_i [c_i \lfloor g_i \rfloor d_i]_{\overline{g_i}}) \\ &= Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([\lfloor f_1 \rfloor c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [d\lfloor f_2 \rfloor]_{\overline{f_2}}) - \sum \alpha_i Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([c_i \lfloor g_i \rfloor d_i]_{\overline{g_i}}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\theta}(Irr(R)) = \{\tilde{\theta}([u]) | [u] \in Irr(R)\}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ the subspace of $\mathfrak{e}$ spanned by $\tilde{\theta}(Irr(R))$. For any $[u], [u'] \in Irr(R)$, if $([u][u']) = \sum \delta_i [u_i] + \sum \beta_j [c_j g_j d_j]_{\overline{g_j}}$ in $Lie(B)$, where each $\delta_i, \beta_j \in k$, $c_j, d_j \in B^*$, $[u_i] \in Irr(R)$, $g_j \in R$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\theta}([u])\tilde{\theta}([u'])] = \tilde{\theta}(([u][u'])) &= \sum \delta_i \tilde{\theta}([u_i]) + \sum \beta_j \tilde{\theta}([c_j g_j d_j]_{\overline{g_j}}) \\ &= \sum \delta_i \tilde{\theta}([u_i]) + \sum \beta_j Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([c_j \lfloor g_j \rfloor d_j]_{\overline{g_j}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ as linear space with the multiplication: for $a, a' \in A$ and $[u], [u'] \in Irr(R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [(a + \tilde{\theta}([u]))(a' + \tilde{\theta}([u']))] \\ = \ & [aa'] + [a\tilde{\theta}([u'])] + [\tilde{\theta}([u])a'] + [\tilde{\theta}([u])\tilde{\theta}([u'])] \\ = \ & [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}} - a \cdot [u'] + [u] \cdot a' + \sum \delta_i \tilde{\theta}([u_i]) + \sum \beta_j Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([c_j \lfloor g_j \rfloor d_j]_{\overline{g_j}}). \end{aligned}$$

Define a linear map

$$\varphi : LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}},\ a \mapsto a,\ [u] \mapsto \tilde{\theta}([u]),\ a \in A,\ [u] \in Irr(R).$$

Then, it is easy to see that φ is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.6. □

Remark: By Lemma 3.7, for a given extension $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{e} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$, it is equivalent to some extension $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i_{\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot}} LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot}} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$, where $\cdot$ is a compatible $Lie(B)$-module operation on $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\lfloor\ \rfloor$ is a factor set such that the conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 3.6 hold. If this the case, we call $(\lfloor\ \rfloor, \cdot)$ a special extension pair associated to the extension $0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{e} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$.

Let $\eta : B \to \mathfrak{a}$ be a map and $\Gamma_\eta : Lie(A \cup B) \to Lie(A \cup B)$ be the unique homomorphism such that $\Gamma_\eta(b) = b - \eta(b)$ and $\Gamma_\eta(a) = a$ for any $b \in B, a \in A$. If $LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor', \cdot')}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(A \cup B)$, then for any $g \in Lie(B) \subseteq Lie(A \cup B)$, we have $Red_{LieR_{(\lfloor\ \rfloor', \cdot')}}(g - \Gamma_\eta(g)) \in \mathfrak{a}$.

By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, we have the following theorem which gives a complete characterization of extensions of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is presented by generators and relations.

Theorem 3.8 *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a Lie algebra with a linear basis A and the multiplication table: $[aa'] = [aa']_\mathfrak{a}, a, a' \in A$ and $\mathfrak{b} = Lie(B|R)$, where R is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(B)$. Then, the following two statements hold.*

(I) A Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = Lie\left(A \cup B \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{ll} [aa'] - [aa']_\mathfrak{a}, & a, a' \in A \\ [ba] - b \cdot a, & b \in B, a \in A \\ f - \lfloor f \rfloor, & f \in R \end{array}\right)$$

for some compatible $Lie(B)$-module structure in $\mathfrak{a}$ (denote the module operation by $\cdot$) and some factor set $\lfloor\ \rfloor : R \to \mathfrak{a}$ such that

(a) For any $a \in A, f \in R$, $f \cdot a = [\lfloor f \rfloor a]_\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$.

(b) For any intersection composition $\langle f_1, f_2\rangle_w$, where $f_1, f_2 \in R, w = \overline{f_1}c = d\overline{f_2}$, $c, d \in B^*$ and $|\overline{f_1}| + |\overline{f_2}| > |w|$, if

$$\langle f_1, f_2\rangle_w = [f_1 c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [df_2]_{\overline{f_2}} = \sum \alpha_i [c_i g_i d_i]_{\overline{g_i}},$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $c_i, d_i \in B^*$, $g_i \in R$ and $c_i\overline{g_i}d_i < w$, then in $\mathfrak{a}$,

$$Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([\lfloor f_1 \rfloor c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [d\lfloor f_2 \rfloor]_{\overline{f_2}}) = \sum \alpha_i Red_{S_{(\cdot)}}([c_i \lfloor g_i \rfloor d_i]_{\overline{g_i}}).$$

(II) Two extensions $\mathfrak{e}$ and $\mathfrak{e}'$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ are equivalent if and only if there exists a map $\eta : B \to \mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} b \cdot' a - b \cdot a &= [\eta(b)a]_\mathfrak{a}, \\ \lfloor f \rfloor' - \lfloor f \rfloor &= Red_{LieR_{(\lfloor\rfloor', \cdot')}}(f - \Gamma_\eta(f)) \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathfrak{a}$ for any $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $f \in R$, where $(\lfloor\ \rfloor, \cdot)$ (resp. $(\lfloor\ \rfloor', \cdot')$) is a special extension pair associated to e (resp. e').

Proof. (I) This part follows from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7.
(II) Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.5 (II). □

3.3 Some special cases of extensions of Lie algebras

Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be a Lie algebra with a well-ordered linear basis B and the multiplication table: $[bb'] - [bb']_{\mathfrak{b}},\ b, b' \in B$. It is easy to see that $R = \{[bb'] - [bb']_{\mathfrak{b}} | b, b' \in B, b > b'\}$ is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(B)$ with respect to the deg-lex order on B^*.

Define $(b, b') = \lfloor [bb'] - [bb']_{\mathfrak{b}} \rfloor, b, b' \in B$, which can be extended to be a skew-symmetric bilinear map $(,) : \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{a}$.

Therefore, we have the following corollary by Theorem 3.8 and a direct computation.

Corollary 3.9 *([2]) Let $\mathfrak{a}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{b}$) be a Lie algebra with a linear basis A (resp. B) and the multiplication table: $[aa'] = [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}}, a, a' \in A$ (resp. $[bb'] = [bb']_{\mathfrak{b}},\ b, b' \in B$). Then, a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong Lie\left(A \cup B \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{ll} [aa'] - [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}}, & a, a' \in A, \\ [ba] - b \cdot a, & b \in B, a \in A \\ [bb'] - [bb']_{\mathfrak{b}} - (b, b'), & b, b' \in B \end{array}\right)$$

for some compatible $Lie(B)$-module structure in $\mathfrak{a}$ (denote the module operation by $\cdot$) and some skew-symmetric bilinear map $(,) : \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{a}$ such that for any $b, b', b'' \in B$ and $a \in A$,

$$[bb'] \cdot a - [bb']_{\mathfrak{b}} \cdot a = [(b, b')a]_{\mathfrak{a}},$$

$$b \cdot (b', b'') - ([bb']_{\mathfrak{b}}, b'') + b' \cdot (b'', b) - ([b'b'']_{\mathfrak{b}}, b) + b'' \cdot (b, b') - ([b''b]_{\mathfrak{b}}, b') = 0.$$

Corollary 3.10 *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an abelian Lie algebra with a linear basis A and $\mathfrak{b} = Lie(B|R)$, where R is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(B)$. Then a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong LieE_{(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \lfloor\ \rfloor, \bullet)} = Lie\left(A \cup B \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{ll} [aa'], & a, a' \in A, \\ [ba] - b \bullet a, & b \in B, a \in A \\ f - \lfloor f \rfloor, & f \in R \end{array}\right)$$

for some $\mathfrak{b}$-module structure in $\mathfrak{a}$ (denote the module operation by $\bullet$) and some factor set $\lfloor\ \rfloor : R \to \mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{b}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$ such that

(b) For any intersection composition $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_w$, where $f_1, f_2 \in R, w = \overline{f_1}c = d\overline{f_2}$, $c, d \in B^*$ and $|\overline{f_1}| + |\overline{f_2}| > |w|$, if

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_w = [f_1 c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [df_2]_{\overline{f_2}} = \sum \alpha_i [c_i g_i d_i]_{\overline{g_i}},$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k,\ c_i, d_i \in B^*,\ g_i \in R$ and $c_i \overline{g_i} d_i < w$, then

$$Red_{S_{(\bullet)}}([\lfloor f_1 \rfloor c]_{\overline{f_1}} - [d\lfloor f_2 \rfloor]_{\overline{f_2}}) = \sum \alpha_i Red_{S_{(\bullet)}}([c_i \lfloor g_i \rfloor d_i]_{\overline{g_i}}).$$

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$) By Theorem 3.8, we have $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $Lie(B)$-module and $\mathfrak{e} \cong LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)}$ for some factor set $\lfloor\ \rfloor : R \to \mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{b}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$ such that the conditions (a) and (b) in Theorem 3.8 hold.

Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is abelian, we have $f \cdot a = [\lfloor f \rfloor a]_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$ for any $f \in R$ and $a \in A$. Thus, $\mathfrak{a}$ is a $\mathfrak{b}$-module with the operation

$$(g + Id(R)) \bullet a = g \cdot a,\ g \in Lie(B), a \in A.$$

($\Longleftarrow$) Define the operation

$$g \cdot a = (g + Id(R)) \bullet a,\ g \in Lie(B), a \in A.$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is abelian, we have

$$g \cdot [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0 = [g \cdot a, a']_{\mathfrak{a}} + [a, g \cdot a']_{\mathfrak{a}}$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{a}$ is a compatible $Lie(B)$-module. Moreover, for any $f \in R$ and $a \in A$,

$$f \cdot a = (f + Id(R)) \bullet a = 0 = [\lfloor f \rfloor a]_{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

Therefore, the conditions (a) and (b) in Theorem 3.8 hold. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{e} \cong LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\cdot)} = LieE_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\lfloor\ \rfloor,\bullet)}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ by Theorem 3.8. □

By Corollaries 3.9 and 3.10, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.11 *([22]) Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an abelian Lie algebra with a linear basis A and $\mathfrak{b}$ be a Lie algebra with a linear basis B and the multiplication table: $[bb'] = [bb']_{\mathfrak{b}},\ b, b' \in B$. Then a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong Lie\left(A \cup B \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{ll} [aa'], & a, a' \in A, \\ [ba] - b \bullet a, & b \in B, a \in A \\ [bb'] - [bb']_{\mathfrak{b}} - (b, b'), & b, b' \in B \end{array}\right)$$

for some $\mathfrak{b}$-module structure in $\mathfrak{a}$ (denote the module operation by $\bullet$) and some skew-symmetric bilinear map $(,) : \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{a}$ such that for any $b, b', b'' \in B$,

$$b \bullet (b', b'') - ([bb']_{\mathfrak{b}}, b'') + b' \bullet (b'', b) - ([b'b'']_{\mathfrak{b}}, b) + b'' \bullet (b, b') - ([b''b]_{\mathfrak{b}}, b') = 0.$$

3.4 Applications

In this subsection, we give some applications of the Theorem 3.8 and its corollaries.

Example 3.12 *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a Lie algebra with a linear basis A and the multiplication table: $[aa'] = [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}}, a, a' \in A$, and $\mathfrak{b} = Lie(B|f = 0)$, a one relation Lie algebra, where B is a set and $f \in Lie(B)$. Then a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong Lie\left(A \cup B \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{ll} [aa'] - [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}}, & a, a' \in A, \\ [ba] - b \cdot a, & b \in B, a \in A \\ f - \lfloor f \rfloor, & \end{array}\right)$$

for some compatible $Lie(B)$-module structure in $\mathfrak{a}$ and some $\lfloor f \rfloor \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that for any $a \in A$, $f \cdot a = [\lfloor f \rfloor a]_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

Especially, if $\mathfrak{a}$ is abelian, then $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong Lie\left(A \cup B \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{ll} [aa'], & a, a' \in A, \\ [ba] - b \bullet a, & b \in B, a \in A \\ f - \lfloor f \rfloor, & \end{array}\right)$$

for some $\mathfrak{b}$-module structure in $\mathfrak{a}$ and some $\lfloor f \rfloor \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. Noting that there is no composition in $R = \{f\}$, $R = \{f\}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $Lie(B)$. By Theorem 3.8, we can obtain the result. □

Example 3.13 *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a Lie algebra with a linear basis A and the multiplication table: $[aa'] = [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}}, a, a' \in A$, and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, k) = Lie(B|R)$, the three dimensional simple Lie algebra, where $B = \{x, y, h\}$ and $R = \{[xy] - h, [hx] - 2x, [hy] + 2y\}$. Then a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}$ is an extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{e} \cong Lie\left(A \cup B \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} [aa'] - [aa']_{\mathfrak{a}},\ a, a' \in A, \\ [xa] - x \cdot a, [ya] - y \cdot a, [ha] - h \cdot a,\ a \in A \\ [xy] - h - (x, y),\ [hx] - 2x - (h, x), [hy] + 2y - (h, y) \end{array}\right)$$

for some compatible $Lie(B)$-module structure in $\mathfrak{a}$ and some skew-symmetric bilinear map $(,) : \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{a}$ such that for any $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} &[xy] \cdot a - h \cdot a = [(x, y)a]_{\mathfrak{a}}, \\ &[hx] \cdot a - 2x \cdot a = [(h, x)a]_{\mathfrak{a}}, \\ &[hy] \cdot a + 2y \cdot a = [(h, y)a]_{\mathfrak{a}}, \\ &h \cdot (x, y) + x \cdot (y, h) + y \cdot (h, x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.9, we can get the result. □

References

[1] W. W. Adams, P. Loustaunau, An introduction to Gröbner bases, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 3, American Mathematical Society (AMS), 1994.

[2] D. Alekseevsky, P.W. Michor, W. Ruppert, Extensions of Lie algebras, arxiv:math/0005042v3.

[3] D. Alekseevsky, P.W. Michor, W. Ruppert, Extensions of super Lie algebras, *J. Lie Theory*, **15**(1)(2005), 125-134.

[4] G.M. Bergman, The diamond lemma for ring theory, *Adv. Math.*, **29**(1978), 178-218.

[5] L.A. Bokut, Unsolvability of the word problem, and subalgebras of finitely presented Lie algebras, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.*, **36**(1972), 1173-1219.

[6] L.A. Bokut, Imbeddings into simple associative algebras, *Algebra i Logika*, **15**(1976), 117-142.

[7] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov bases: after A.I. Shirshov, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, **31**(2007) 1057-1076.

[8] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov bases: Some new results, Proceedings of the Second International Congress in Algebra and Combinatorics, World Scientific, 2008, 35-56.

[9] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, K.P. Shum, Gröbner-Shirshov bases: some new results, Advance in Algebra and Combinatorics. Proceedings of the Second International Congress in Algebra and Combinatorics, Eds. K.P. Shum, E. Zelmanov, Jiping Zhang, Li Shangzhi, World Scientific, 2008, 35-56.

[10] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Q.H. Mo, Gröbner-Shirshov bases and embeddings of algebras, *Inter. J. Alge. Comput.*, **20**(2010), 875-900.

[11] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, K.P. Shum, Some new results on Gröbner-Shirshov bases, in: Proceedings of International Conference on Algebra 2010, Advances in Algebraic Structures, 2012, 53-102.

[12] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov bases and their calculation, *Bull. Math. Sci.*, **4**(2014), 325-395.

[13] L.A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, P.S. Kolesnikov, Gröbner and Gröbner-Shirshov bases in algebra and conformal algebras, *Fund. App. Math.*, **6**(3)(2000), 669-706.

[14] L.A. Bokut, P.S. Kolesnikov, Gröbner-Shirshov bases: from their incipiency to the present, *J. Math. Sci.*, **116**(1)(2003), 2894-2916.

[15] L.A. Bokut, G. Kukin, Algorithmic and Combinatorial algebra, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 1994.

[16] B. Buchberger, An algorithm for finding a basis for the residue class ring of a zero-dimensional polynomial ideal, Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck, Austria, 1965 (in German).

[17] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations, *Aequationes Math.*, **4**(1970), 374-383.

[18] B. Buchberger, G.E. Collins, R. Loos and R. Albrecht, Computer algebra, symbolic and algebraic computation, Computing Supplementum, Vol.4, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[19] B. Buchberger, F. Winkler, Gröbner bases and applications, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol.251, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[20] Yuqun Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov basis for Schreier extensions of groups, *Comm. Algebra*, **36**(5)(2008), 1609-1625.

[21] Yuqun Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov basis for extensions of algebras, *Algebra Colloq.*, **16**(2)(2009), 283-292.

[22] C. Chevalley, S. Eilenberg, Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **63**(1948), 85-124.

[23] D.A. Cox, J. Little, D. O'Shea, Ideals, varieties and algorithms: An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[24] V. Dotsenko, A. Khoroshkin, Gröbner bases for operads, *Duke Math. J.*, **153**(2010), 363-396

[25] S. Eilenberg, S. MacLane, Cohomology theory in abstract groups, II. Groups extensions with non-abelian kernel, *Ann. Math.*, **48**(2)(1947), 326-341.

[26] D. Eisenbud, Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Math., Vol.150, Berlin and New York: Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[27] A. Fialowski, M. Penkava, Extensions of (super) Lie algebras, *Comm. Contemp. Math.*, **11**(5)(2009), 709-737

[28] A. Fialowski, M. Penkava, Extensions of associative algebras, *Comm. Contem. Math.*, **16**(3)(2014), 1-29.

[29] M. Hall, The Theory of Groups, Macmillan, 1959.

[30] X. Gao, L. Guo, M. Rosenkranz, Free integro-differential algebras and Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *J. Algebra*, **442**(2015), 354-396.

[31] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singulatities of an algebraic variety over a field if characteristic zero, I, II, *Ann. Math.*, **79**(1964), 109-203, 205-326.

[32] G.P. Hochschild, Cohomology clases of finite type and finite dimensional kernels for Lie algebras, *Am. J. Math.*, **76**(1954), 763-778.

[33] G.P. Hochschild, J.-P. Serre, Cohomology of group extensions, *Trans. AMS*, **74**(1953), 110-134.

[34] G.P. Hochschild, J.-P. Serre, Cohomology of Lie algebras. *Ann. Math.*, **2** (57)(1953), 591-603.

[35] S. MacLane, Homology, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

[36] K. Mackenzie, Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in diferential geometry, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 124, Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[37] A.A. Mikhalev, The composition lemma for color Lie superalgebras and for Lie p-superalgebras, *Contemp. Math.*, **131**(2)(1992), 91-104.

[38] M. Mori, On the three dimensional cohomology group of Lie algebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **5**(1953), 171-183.

[39] O. Schreier, Über die Erweiterung von Gruppen I, *Monatsh. Math. Phys.*, **34**(1926), 165-180.

[40] O. Schreier, Über die Erweiterung von Gruppen II, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamberg*, **4**(1926), 321-346.

[41] A.I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.*, **3** (1962), 292-296 (in Russian); English translation in SIGSAM Bull., **33**(2) (1999), 3-6.

[42] A.I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for ε-algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.*, **3** (1962), 132-137.

[43] U. Shukla, A cohomology for Lie algebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **18**(3)(1966), 275-289.

[44] Selected works of A.I. Shirshov, Eds L.A. Bokut, V. Latyshev, I. Shestakov, E. Zelmanov, Trs M. Bremner, M. Kochetov, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2009.